A New Parallel Domain-Decomposed Chebyshev Collocation Method for Atmospheric and Oceanic Modeling

Yu-Ming Tsai¹, Hung-Chi Kuo^{1,*}, Yung-Chieh Chang², and Yu-Heng Tseng¹

¹Department of Atmospheric Sciences, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan ²Department of Applied Mathematics, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan

Received 19 July 2011, accepted 28 March 2012

ABSTRACT

Spectral methods seek the solution to a differential equation in terms of series of known smooth function. The Chebyshev series possesses the exponential-convergence property regardless of the imposed boundary condition, and therefore is suited for the regional modeling. We propose a new domain-decomposed Chebyshev collocation method which facilitates an efficient parallel implementation. The boundary conditions for the individual sub-domains are exchanged through one grid interval overlapping. This approach is validated using the one dimensional advection equation and the inviscid Burgers' equation. We further tested the vortex formation and propagation problems using two-dimensional nonlinear shallow water equations. The domain decomposition approach in general gave more accurate solutions compared to that of the single domain calculation. Moreover, our approach retains the exponential error convergence and conservation of mass and the quadratic quantities such as kinetic energy and enstrophy. The efficiency of our method is greater than one and increases with the number of processors, with the optimal speed up of 29 and efficiency 3.7 in 8 processors. Efficiency greater than one was obtained due to the reduction the degrees of freedom in each sub-domain that reduces the spectral operational count and also due to a larger time step allowed in the sub-domain method. The communication overhead begins to dominate when the number of processors further increases, but the method still results in an efficiency of 0.9 in 16 processors. As a result, the parallel domain-decomposition Chebyshev method may serve as an efficient alternative for atmospheric and oceanic modeling.

Key words: Chebyshev collocation method, Domain-decomposition, 2-D nonlinear shallow water model Citation: Tsai, Y. M., H. C. Kuo, Y. C. Chang, and Y. H. Tseng, 2012: A new parallel domain-decomposed Chebyshev collocation method for atmospheric and oceanic modeling. Terr. Atmos. Ocean. Sci., 23, 439-450, doi: 10.3319/TAO.2012.03.28.02(A)

1. INTRODUCTION

Advances in spectral transform methods (Orszag 1970) and Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) brought about successful global atmospheric modeling using these methods (e.g., Bourke et al. 1977; Machenhauer 1979). Compared with finite difference and finite element (volume) methods, global spectral models with spherical harmonics can eliminate the singularity of poles and preserve high accuracy and efficiency due to the "exponential convergence" property and the easy implementation of the semi-implicit method. The spectral methods also allow the discrete conservation of kinetic energy and enstrophy, which are important for twodimensional turbulence. In addition to the popularity of spectral methods for global models, the modified Fourier method is often used in operational regional spectral models, such as the forecast model at the Japan Meteorological Agency (Segami et al. 1989), US NCEP regional spectral model (Juang and Kanamitsu 1994; Juang 2000), and the HIgh-Resolution Limited-Area Model (HIRLAM) in Europe (Haugen and Machenhauer 1993). However, the exponential convergence property in these models may reduce to algebraic convergence with $O(N^{-3})$, which loses the major advantage of the Fourier method. Also, the basis functions used in the modified Fourier method need to be determined by the time-dependent boundary conditions and cannot be selected arbitrarily (Roache 1978; Kuo and Williams 1992; Adcock 2009).

^{*} Corresponding author

E-mail: kuo@as.ntu.edu.tw

Fulton and Schubert (1987a, b) proposed a Chebyshev spectral method for the limited area (regional) atmospheric modeling. The method successfully handles the time-dependent boundary conditions while retaining the advantage of spectral method. The appeal of Chebyshev spectral methods in the regional atmospheric modeling is its efficiency and accuracy due to the fast transform, and the exponential convergence rate for the selected basis function. The convergence rate of the Chebyshev method depends mainly on the smoothness of the expanded function, rather than the boundary conditions (Fulton and Schubert 1987a; Kuo and Williams 1992, 1998). Moreover, Chebyshev transforms can be implemented using FFT since they can be reduced to discrete cosine transforms (Fulton and Schubert 1987a; Kopriva 2009).

There are two types of projections for Chebyshev spectral modeling. In the *tau* approximation, the Chebyshev basis functions need not individually satisfy the boundary conditions; however, these boundary conditions are satisfied by the whole truncated series. The other is called *collocation* projection, which requires the residual to vanish on the collocation points and the boundary conditions are implemented in physical space. Kuo and Schubert (1988) studied the stability of cloud-topped boundary layer with a Fourier-Chebyshev *tau* method based Boussinesq nonhydrostatic convective model. The method handles the strong gradients of temperature and moisture which are critical in boundary layer convection modeling.

The idea of maintaining the exponential convergence property with domain-decomposition for spectral methods was initiated by Patera (1984). Patera (1984) used the "Patched" method, in which each block spans a single interface line between a pair of adjoin domains, to handle the domain interface. Kopriva (1986, 1989) further proposed another composite-grid approach which maps the hyperbolic equations in the complicated geometries to several squared sub-domains. The spectral method is performed within each domain. The advantages of domain-decomposition for Chebyshev spectral method were discussed in Kopriva (1986, 1989) introduced both "patched" and "overset" methods for solving hyperbolic equations for complicated geometry. A decade later, Kopriva and Kolias (1996) developed a conservative staggered-grid Chebyshev multidomain method for compressible flows with patched grids. Kopriva (1996) found that within each sub-domain, the polynomial order can be determined independently regardless of its neighbors. The Chebyshev pseudospectral (collocation) method with domain-decomposition is also applied to deal with viscous flow in order to reduce the Gibbs phenomena over an entire domain (Yang and Shizgal 1994). These Chebyshev-type spectral approaches with domaindecomposition problems such as hyperbolic equations (Kopriva 1986, 1989), viscous flow (Yang and Shizgal 1994), and compressible flow (Kopriva 1996; Kopriva and Kolias

1996). However, none has directly applied to atmospheric modeling.

The spectral method with domain-decomposition can be regarded as a variation of "spectral element method" (Deville et al. 2002; Washington and Parkinson 2005; Claudio et al. 2007). One of the typical spectral element methods is the discontinuous Galerkin method with Legendre polynomials (Iskandarani et al. 1995; Cockburn 2003; Ramachandran et al. 2005). The major advantage of the discontinuous Galerkin method is that much of the computation is local to an element and the conservation property is maintained. The Galerkin method is also suitable to simulate complex domain geometry. However, the computational cost is expensive due to the spectral element and its parallel implementation requires better load balance. The unique benefit of Chebyshev spectral method lies in its fast transformation (Fulton and Schubert 1987a; Kopriva 2009), which is readily available and efficient. The Chebyshev spectral method uses the non-uniform (Chebyshev) grids with finer resolution near the boundary. It should be noted that equidistant grid points do not guarantee uniform error distribution (Runge phenomenon; Hesthaven et al. 2007), while Chebyshev grids provide uniform error distribution (Fig. 2.3 in Conte and Boor 1980). In addition, the domaindecomposition enhances the homogeneity of the fine resolution as the number of sub-domains increases, which provide more flexibility for further adaptive grid refinement. Thus, the domain-decomposition approach adds the Chebyshev spectral method to the list of spectral element methods.

The traditional domain-decomposition method for Chebyshev spectral modeling is the "Patched" method which overlaps one grid point on the boundary. The numerical flux is calculated from the left and right of the boundary to implement the sub-domain boundary condition (Kopriva 2009). However, this process is complicated as (8.41) and (8.42) in Kopriva (2009). In this study, we follow the CReSS model (Tsuboki and Sakakibara 2002) method, which simply overlaps one-grid width, to exchange boundary information along the sub-domain boundaries. The 1-D advection equation, inviscid Burgers' equation, and the 2-D fully nonlinear shallow water equations are used to check the validity of this new approach.

The main objective of this study is to develop and implement a new parallel Chebyshev collocation method with domain-decomposition which is suited for atmospheric and oceanic modeling. The multi-dimensional domain-decomposition provides the most flexible combination and effective performance for the large-scale atmospheric and oceanic modeling. The spectral operation counts for derivative computation are even reduced for each sub-domain, which leads to a high speed up value. Following the suggestion of Fulton and Schubert (1987a), we have adopted the shallow water equations in advective form for better accuracy. Because the domain-decomposition is the most efficient way in parallel computing to improve the computational efficiency, we employed Message Passing Interface (MPI) in this study. The multi-domain calculations are performed using different computing processes simultaneously, which reduces a significant amount of computing time and relieves the memory requirement for a large-scale system from the advantage of parallel computing (Smith et al. 1996; Shonk-wiler and Lefton 2006).

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the Chebyshev collocation method. The parallel domaindecomposed Chebyshev collocation method is proposed in section 3. Section 4 investigates the accuracy of the parallel domain-decomposed Chebyshev method using advection and inviscid Burgers' equations. The characteristics are discussed in terms of the exponential convergence of error. Section 5 presents the application of vortex formation and propagation using the 2-D nonlinear shallow water equations. Finally, concluding remarks are given in section 6.

2. METHODS

Spectral methods seek solutions in terms of a series of known basis functions. The essence of choosing basis functions is based on the property of "completeness." Namely, the solution can be represented by a set of functions. Practical consideration of the basis functions for spectral methods is orthogonality and efficient calculation of their projection or inner product. The "orthogonality" of basis functions for spectral methods is practically important in the computation since the coefficients are independent.

Consider the Sturm-Liouville equation in the limited domain [a, b],

$$L\varphi(x) = -[p(x)\varphi'(x)]' + q(x)\varphi(x) = \lambda w(x)\varphi(x)$$
(1)

where *L* is a linear operator involving space derivatives, with determined functions p(x), q(x), and w(x). The prime represents the differentiation with respect to *x*. Equation (1) has infinite and countable sets of solutions $\varphi(x)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, corresponding to their eigenvalues $\lambda_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Therefore, any smooth function u(x) can be expanded by the basis $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ with appropriate coefficients as

$$u(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{u}_n \varphi_n(x) \tag{2}$$

where

$$\hat{u}_n = (u, \varphi_n)_w \tag{3}$$

To estimate the magnitude of \hat{u}_n , we substitute φ_n in $\hat{u}_n = (u, \varphi_n)_w$ from Eq. (1) and implement the integration by parts twice:

$$\hat{u}_{n} = \lambda_{n}^{-1} (u, w^{-1} L \varphi_{n})_{w} = \lambda_{n}^{-1} [B(u, \varphi_{n}) + (v, \varphi_{n})_{w}]$$
(4)

where $B(u, \varphi_n) = p(x)[u'(x)\varphi_n(x) - u(x)\varphi_n'(x)]\Big|_{x=a}^{x=b}$ and $v = w^{-1}Lu$.

The Chebyshev polynomials are the solutions of Chebyshev differential equation which is a special case of the Sturm-Liouville equation with $p(x) = (1 - x^2)^{1/2}$, q(x) =0 and $w(x) = (1 - x^2)^{-1/2}$. Taking the case having the domain [-1,1] and p(-1) = p(1) = 0, which means $B(u, \varphi_n)$ equals to 0 no matter what bounded function u is, we can perform integration by parts for the $\lambda_n^{-1}[(v, \varphi_n)]$ term repeatedly as long as the function is smooth enough after each integration. Since the $(v, \varphi_n)_w$ term is bounded regardless of n and the asymptotic behavior of eigenvalues $\lambda_n = O(n^2)$ and eigenvectors $\varphi_n(x) = O(1)$, $\varphi'_n(x) = O(n)$ as $n \to \infty$, we get $\hat{u}_n < O(n^{-m})$ if u is m times differentiable (Courant and Hilbert 1953). This indicates the exponential convergence by definition, i.e., the convergence rate of Chebyshev series only depends on the smoothness of the expanded function regardless of the boundaries. A thorough description of the Chebyshev spectral method is given in Fulton and Schubert (1987a, b). If a function is expanded by the truncated Chebyshev series $T_n(x)$

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \widehat{\psi}_n T_n(x)$$
(5)

we can obtain the spectral coefficients $\hat{\psi}_n$ by the relation

$$\hat{\psi}_n = \frac{2}{\pi c_n} (\psi, T_n), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$
 (6)

where $c_n = 2$ when n = 0, and $c_n = 1$ when n > 0. Equations (5) and (6) can be calculated efficiently by the Fast Chebyshev Transform. Similar to the Fast Fourier Transform, the Fast Chebyshev Transform reduces the *N* degree of freedom transformation to $O(N \log N)$ operations instead of $O(N^2)$ (Fulton and Schubert 1987a; Kopriva 2009). This advantage favors its potential application in large-scale atmospheric modeling. Note that Chebyshev spectral method is known as the best method to reduce the point-wise error compared to other polynomial projection methods. It can also eliminate the wild oscillations near the boundary, so called Runge phenomena (i.e., Hesthaven et al. 2007).

3. THE PARALLEL DOMAIN-DECOMPOSITION APPROACH

The development of a parallel domain-decomposition approach for Chebyshev collocation method is novel in atmospheric and oceanic modeling. The computational saving is significant not only due to the distributed computing for each domain, but also due to the relaxation for time constraint (i.e., allowing larger Δt), as mentioned in Kopriva (1986). For a limited domain with a specified degree of freedom N, the time step Δt is mainly constrained by the Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy (CFL) criteria. For the nonuniform Chebyshev grid, the minimal Δx is proportional to L/N^2 , where L is the length of the domain, N is the degrees of freedom. Assuming Δt_s and Δt_m are the ideal time steps for certain numerical methods in a single domain and m domain-decomposed sub-domains, respectively, the proportionality of time step to the minimal Δx leads to

$$\Delta t_s \propto \frac{L}{N^2} \tag{7}$$

and, similarly,

$$\Delta t_m \propto \frac{L/m}{(N/m)^2} = m \frac{L}{N^2} \tag{8}$$

where L/m is the length of each sub-domain and the minimal Δx in sub-domains is proportional to $1/(N/m)^2$ (each subdomain includes only N/m Chebyshev grids). This implies that an m time larger time step can be used when several sub-domains are employed. Ideally, this should result in an m^2 speed-up in the distributed platform if no communication cost is taken into account. Apparently, the main advantage of domain-decomposition also favors the use of Chebyshev method.

Minimal communication between sub-domains is required for an efficient atmospheric or oceanic model. Following the grid arrangement of the CReSS model (Tsuboki and Sakakibara 2002), a one-grid width overlapped boundaries is used here for the data exchange between sub-domains. Figure 1 shows the (a) one-dimensional and (b) two-dimensional schematic diagrams for the data exchange along the overlapped boundaries. Note that the grids setting match well at the overlapped boundaries. Assuming x_{M_N} to be the Nth Chebyshev collocation point at Mth sub-domain, we assign the data value $u(x_{M_{N_a}})$ to $u(x_{(M+1)_N})$ and assign $u(x_{(M+1)_{(N-N_a)}})$ to $u(x_{M_0})$, respectively. The length of each sub-domain is

$$L_{a} = \frac{L}{(N_{D} - 1)/2(N_{D} - 1)[1 - \cos(N_{a}\pi/N)]}$$
(9)

where *L* is the length of the whole domain, $N_a + 1$ is the number of overlapped grids between sub-domains with $N_a \ge 1$, N_D is the number of sub-domains, and *N* is the degrees of freedom of each sub-domain.

4. TEST PROBLEMS

In order to show the accuracy and convergence of the

new domain-decomposed Chebyshev approach, we first consider the 1-D linear advection equation in the domain [-1, 1]

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0 \tag{10}$$

The initial and boundary conditions are given by the analytical solution

$$u(x,t) = A \exp\left[-\left(\frac{x - x_0 - t}{h}\right)^2\right]$$
(11)

where h = 0.2, $x_0 = -0.5$ and $A = h^{-1/2} (\pi/2)^{-1/4}$ are selected as in Fulton and Schubert (1987a). The fourth-order Runge-Kutta method is used for the time integration scheme. Here, we also compare our results with the fourth-order finite difference scheme (FD4).

Figure 2 compares the analytical solution and the numerical approximation by Chebyshev collocation method and FD4 at time t = 1.0 The domain is divided into two subdomains with one grid width overlapping, e.g., two overlapped grids, as shown in Fig. 1a. The degrees of freedom



Fig. 1. Schematic representation of (a) one-dimensional and (b) twodimensional domain-decomposition and the communication strategy for parallel computations using MPI. The blue shadings represent the overlapped areas (after Tsuboki and Sakakibara 2002).



Fig. 2. (a) Comparison between the analytical solution (exact) and numerical results for the linear advection equation. Label "D" represents the Chebyshev collocation method with double domains. The result with the fourth-order finite difference scheme (FD4) is also superimposed. (b) The L_2 error for FD4 and Chebyshev collocation method using single domain (S) and double domain (D), respectively.

of each sub-domain is now 12. Figure 2a shows that the approximation of Chebyshev collocation method with domaindecomposition is almost identical to the analytical solution in 1-D advection problem without numerical dispersion. Figure 2b further shows the L_2 error of the numerical results of Chebyshev collocation method with single domain, double domain, and FD4 method with analytical solution at t = 1.0. As expected, the absolute accuracy is slightly higher in the single domain than the double domains since the advected Gaussian function is centered at x = 0.5, where the double domains have coarser resolution. However, the error convergence in the spectral solutions are uniformly decreasing on the order of $10^{(-N/4)}$ as N approaches 64, while the error in FD4 decreases on the order of N. The exponential convergence of the spectral method remains regardless of the number of domains. Instead of reproducing the identical result as single domain, the Chebyshev domain decomposition approach shows the numerical convergence (converging to the true solution) when we refine the resolution.

Next, we consider the inviscid Burgers' equation in the limited domain [-1, 1]

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u(x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0 \tag{12}$$

with the initial condition $u(x, 0) = \overline{u} - \tan^{-1}(x - x_0)$. The boundary conditions are given by the general solution of Eq. (12). Thus, the analytical solution gives

$$u = \overline{u} - \tan^{-1} \left(x - ut - x_0 \right) \tag{13}$$

Furthermore, the time of scale-collapse can be obtained by

differentiating Eq. (13) with respect to x

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = -\frac{1 - t(\partial u / \partial x)}{1 + (x - x_0 - ut)^2}$$

Assuming $x = x_0 + \overline{u}t$ and $u = \overline{u}$, this gives

$$\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)_{x=x_0+\overline{u}t} = -\left[1 - t\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)_{x=x_0+\overline{u}t}\right]$$
(14)

and then

$$\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)_{x=x_0+\overline{u}t} = \frac{1}{t-1} \to -\infty \text{ as } t \to 1$$
(15)

Thus, the time of scale-collapse of u is 1 with the position of scale-collapse at $x_0 + \overline{u}$. Given the appropriate \overline{u} and x_0 , the analytical solution of Eq. (12) at certain x and t can be obtained numerically for desired accuracy. The fourthorder Runge-Kutta method is used for the time integration scheme. Figure 3a shows the numerical results compared with the analytical solution for the case $\overline{u} = 0.5$ and $x_0 =$ 0 (the scale-collapse occurs at $x_0 = 0$). The general pattern can be obtained by the numerical solution with only 32 degrees of freedom. Figure 3c shows the corresponding error distribution in the physical space. Note that the error in double domains is larger than that in single domain since the scale collapse (sharp front) is located near coarser collocated points. On the other hand, for another case $\overline{u} = 0.5$ and $x_0 = -0.5$, the scale collapse occurs near the center of the simulation domain (Fig. 3b), better results are achieved for



Fig. 3. Analytical solution and numerical results in single domain and double domains for inviscid Burgers' equation with (a) $x_0 = 0$ and $\overline{u} = 0.5$ and (b) $x_0 = -0.5$ and $\overline{u} = 0.5$, respectively. The error distribution in the physical space for (c) $x_0 = 0$ and $\overline{u} = 0.5$ and (d) $x_0 = -0.5$ and $\overline{u} = 0.5$, respectively.

double domains since the single domain has coarser collocated points there, as expected.

Figure 4 shows the convergence rates for the case of x_0 = 0 and \overline{u} = 0.5. The time scale T shows the duration from the integration. Since the scale-collapse time of u is 1, the worst convergence rates should occur as the time approaching to T = 1. The black lines indicate different reference slopes on the log plot (m_n : log y = nN/24). The convergence rates for the case with double domains are consistently better than the results for the case with a single domain since the case with double domains has a higher resolution near the central area. This confirms that the magnitude of error is determined by the density of collocated grids if the function has a sharp gradient there. For the general smooth function, the local error may not directly relate to the grid resolution. Different combinations of domain-decomposition should provide enough flexibility for adaptive grid refinement and better resolution when needed.

5. 2-D NONLINEAR SHALLOW WATER MODEL

Since the set of primitive equations for the atmosphere

could be projected on the vertical normal mode based on the normal mode analysis, solving the atmospheric primitive equations is equivalent to solving the set of shallow water equations (Fulton and Schubert 1985). Therefore, we use the vortex formation and propagation problem based on shallow water equations in a limited-area (Fulton and Schubert 1987b) to show the advantage of our new approach. We use the collocation method (rather than tau method) due to the ease of implementation using the domain-decomposition approach. The 2D nonlinear shallow water equations are

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} - fv + \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + fu + \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} + (\overline{h} + h) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right) = Q(x, y, t) \quad (16)$$

where *u* and *v* are the velocity components along *x* and *y* directions, respectively, *f* is the Coriolis parameter, Q(x, y, t) is the time varying outer forcing, \overline{h} is the basic state of



Fig. 4. Convergence rate of the inviscid Burgers' equation with a single domain and double domains, respectively. The scale-collapse occurs at x = 0 with $\overline{u} = 0.5$. The convergence gets worse when *T* is increasing, as expected. Label S represents a single domain case, and label D represents double domains case. The black lines indicate different reference slopes on the log plot (m_n : log y = nN/24).

geopotential, and h is the total height deviation from the basic state \overline{h} .

We consider the 2-D shallow water equation on the β -plane ($f = f_0 + \beta y$, where f_0 and β are both constants) with $g\overline{h} = c^2$ is 2500 m² s⁻². The domain has the size $[x_a, x_b] \times [y_a, y_b] = [-2000 \text{ km}, 2000 \text{ km}] \times [-2000 \text{ km}, 2000 \text{ km}]$. The center y = 0 located at 30°N [$f_0 = 2\Omega \sin(\pi/6)$ and $\beta = (2\Omega/R) \cos(\pi/6)$, where Ω is the earth rotating rate and R is the radius of earth]. An additional forcing term, Q(x, y, t), is added to simulate the 2-D vortex dynamics, such as the existence of an idealized hurricane:

$$Q(x, y, t) = 4q_0 \exp\left[-\left(\frac{x - x_c}{x_0}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{y - y_c}{y_0}\right)^2\right] t^2 t_0^{-3} e^{-2t/t_0}$$
(17)

where $q_0 = 6250 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}$, $t_0 = 21600 \text{ s}$, *e*-folding width $x_0 = y_0 = 200 \text{ km}$ and centered at $(x_c, y_c) = (1000 \text{ km}, -1000 \text{ km})$. Note that the maximum Q(x, y, t) occurs when $t = t_0$. The initial condition is then given as

$$u(x, y, 0) = -U\cos\left(\pi \frac{y - y_a}{y_b - y_a}\right), \quad v(x, y, 0) = 0$$
(18)

where U = 7.5 m s⁻¹. The Q-forcing causes the vortex to vary with time and is advected away from the original location.

We make h in geostrophic balance on the β -plane, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial y}(x, y, 0) = -(f_0 + \beta y)u \tag{19}$$

If we set Q(x, y, t) = 0 and Eq. (19) is held for *h*, the system is in geostrophic balance state on a β -plane continuously. The periodic boundary condition is used along *x* direction. The wall boundary condition is then applied along the other direction at $y = y_a$ and $y = y_b$, i.e., v = 0 at $y = y_a$ and $y = y_b$.

In our simulation, the vortex formation by Q-forcing is located at (1000 km, -1000 km). All model settings are identical to that in Fulton and Schubert (1987b). The vortex drifts from the easterly background flow to the westerly and recurved due to the β effects and the surrounding vorticity gradient.

The Chebyshev collocation method is used to calculate all derivatives and the fourth-order Runge-Kutta method is used for the time integration. For the domain-decomposition experiments, we exchange the data along x direction initially, followed by y direction. The simulation results (Fig. 5) are interpolated on regular grid points via Chebyshev interpolation. Figure 5 shows the resulting geopotential field h/c (shown as contours) on 72, 144, and 216 hours,



Fig. 5. The geopotential field h/c for shallow water model with single domain, DD 2 × 2, and DD 4 × 4 on 72, 144, and 216 hours later, respectively.

respectively. These results agree well with those in Fulton and Schubert (1987b), and there is almost no difference between single domain, domain-decomposed 2×2 domains (DD 2×2), and domain-decomposed 4×4 domains (DD 4×4) simulations. The vortex propagates smoothly across the sub-domain boundaries without noticeable oscillations. Figure 6 shows further analysis of the axisymmetric velocity and vorticity on 72 and 216 hours later, respectively. All results are almost identical within the rounding error.

To analyze the convergence rate, we use the single domain calculation with degree of freedom N = 192 as the reference state. Figure 7 compares L_2 error between the case using a single domain and the case using double sub-domains, respectively (degrees of freedom is N = 192 for both cases). Only the results of day 2 (48 hours) and day 4 (96 hours) are shown for generality. The accuracy of the domain-decomposed case is slightly less than the single domain results due to the difference in the non-uniform grid spacing. Most importantly, these results confirm that the exponential convergence holds regardless of the number of sub-domains (convergence order of 10^4 in these calculations).

The parallel performance for the 2-D shallow water equations model are summarized in Table 1 with the speedup defined as $SU = T_1/T_p$, and the efficiency SU/n; T_1 and T_p are the CPU time for single domain and p sub-domains with domain-decomposition, respectively, and n is the number of Message Passing Interface (MPI) tasks. The benchmark test is performed on a Linux cluster, in which each computing node has two quad-cores processors. The communication is based on the infiniband connection. Note that the efficiency is greater than 1 and increases with the increasing number of MPI tasks for the number of MPI tasks ≤ 8 . This leads to a major advantage of the domain-decomposed Chebyshev method. Note that it requires $O(N^2)$ operations to take derivatives on N degrees of freedom for the typical Chebyshev collocation method (using a 1-D calculation as an example). For each sub-domain, the degrees of freedom can be reduced to N/m, where m is the number of sub-domains. This leads to $O(N^2/m^2)$ operations simultaneously for each sub-domain and increases the efficiency for a small number of MPI tasks. The communication overhead begins to dominate when the number of MPI tasks further increases (e.g., n = 16). This mainly results from more data exchange across the computing nodes.

Table 2 shows the conservation of mass, kinetic energy, and enstrophy in the whole domain after day 3, 6 and 9, respectively, based on the case of DD 4×4 over a single domain. The results suggest that the conservation of the first and second moments is reasonably retained using the new domain decomposition approach.

Some weak oscillations are observed in the geopotential field (h/c) in all simulations as noted in Fulton and Schubert (1987b). Because this results from the energy cascade to unresolved scales and non-exact boundary conditions, simply increasing model resolution will not completely eliminate the oscillations. Using a small amount of dissipation is one alternative to represent the energy cascade process and reduce the effects from the non-exact boundary data. In Fulton and Schubert (1987b) and in our single domain simulation, Chebyshev models could run well without any



Fig. 6. The comparison of axisymmetric velocity (a, b) and vorticity (c, d) of the vortex with single domain, DD 2 × 2, and DD 4 × 4 on Day 3 and Day 9, respectively.

10

100

10-1

D

S

day 2

day 4

L₂ error

dissipation. This property still holds for the domain-decomposition simulations.

It should be pointed out that the method used here cannot achieve the "reproducibility" of parallel computing (i.e., Juang et al. 2007). Due to the irregular grid points, the Chebyshev method will not achieve identical results for parallel domain-decomposition computation (Kopriva 1986, 1989, 1996; Kopriva and Kolias 1996). However, the major concern of spectral method is the "exponential convergence" (converging to the true solution exponentially) when we refine the resolution. The parallel reproducibility may not be that relevant. The approach we have demonstrated in this study takes the advantage of both parallel algorithm and grid rearrangement. Our results (Figs. 2b and 7) suggest the property of exponential convergence even with the subdomain approach with the spectral method.

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The choice of numerical method is often governed by the considerations of accuracy and efficiency. Spectral methods have been extensively used in the atmospheric modeling community. Spectral methods seek the solution to a differential equation in terms of series of known smooth functions. The exponential convergent property and the fast transformation calculations are the practical considerations of these methods.

The Chebyshev spectral method uses fast transformation calculations and possesses the exponential-convergence property regardless of the imposed boundary condition, thus is suited for regional modeling. We propose a new domaindecomposed Chebyshev collocation method which facilitates an efficient parallel implementation. Similar to the CReSS model approach, our sub-domain is connected with one grid width overlapping. Owing to the unique property of Chebyshev grids arrangement and the CFL relation between Δx and Δt , the constraint of Δt with the Chebyshev method in a single domain can be further relaxed in the domaindecomposition approach.

Using the new domain decomposed Chebyshev collocation method, we show that the exponential convergence in a 1-D linear advection benchmark problem. In a more strict test of inviscid Burgers' equation, the model can be integrated successfully until the shock formation. We show that the domain-decomposition approach in general yields accuracy comparable to that of the single domain calculation and still holds the exponential convergence property.

In a more realistic atmospheric modeling with a 2-D nonlinear shallow water model, the domain-decomposed Chebyshev method results also compare favorably to the single domain spectral method results with an L_2 error with respect to a very high resolution model run on the order of 10⁻⁴. The domain-decomposition also reduces the spectral operation counts for each sub-domain and increases the al-

lowed time step in integration, which provides a high speed up value such as 29.4 and higher than one efficiency such as 3.7 when 8 processors are used. The communication overhead begins to dominate when the number of processor

 10^{-2} 50 100 150 200 N Fig. 7. The L_2 error between the case using a single domain and the case using double sub-domains, respectively (degrees of freedom is N = 192 for both cases). Label S represents the case using single domain, and label D represents the case using double domains.

Table 1. Comparison of computational time, speed-up, and efficiency for different numbers of MPI task in 2-D nonlinear shallow water simulation (degrees of freedom N = 192).

Number of Processors	Time (seconds)	Speed-up	Efficiency
1	552.59	1	1
4	89.80	6.15	1.54
8	18.76	29.44	3.68
16	39.97	13.83	0.86

Table 2. Conservation property of mass, kinetic energy, and enstrophy after day 3, 6 and 9.

	Mass	Kinetic Energy	Enstrophy
72 hours	99.99%	100.00%	99.94%
144 hours	100.00%	99.92%	99.72%
216 hours	99.99%	99.99%	97.32%



further increases, but the method is still efficient (0.9 with 16 processors). We have also examined the time-splitting method (Wicker and Skamarock 2002) in our 2D shallow water model. Since two-thirds of the derivative terms in (16) need to be calculated in the small gravity wave time step, the time-splitting method is not efficient in this case, and the additional complication may introduce more model instability.

Our approach is in general agreement with the approach of the spectral element method. With the flexible domain arrangement, it is possible to allocate high resolution sub-domains to achieve the exponential convergence of the solution. As noted by Patera (1984), the spectral element method expands the generality of the finite element method with higher spectral accuracy. Compared with highly localized methods, such as finite difference methods and finite volume methods, the spectral element method reduces the data exchange across the sub-domain boundary. With these advantages of efficiency, our sub-domain Chebyshev spectral method may be useful. Additional work is required to substantiate these advantages for regional atmospheric and oceanic modeling.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to Wayne Schubert and Hao-Tien Chiang for many helpful discussions. This research was supported by the National Science Council of Taiwan through Grants NSC-096-2917-I-002-129.

REFERENCES

- Adcock, B., 2009: Univariate modified Fourier methods for second order boundary value problems. *BIT*, **49**, 249-280, doi: 10.1007/s10543-009-0224-1. [Link]
- Bourke, W., B. McAvaney, K. Puri, and R. Thurling, 1977: Global modeling of atmospheric modeling of atmospheric flow by spectral methods. *Methods Comput. Phys.*, 17, 267-324.
- Claudio, G. C., M. Y. Hussaini, A. Quarteroni, and T. A. Zang, 2007: Spectral Methods - Evolution to Complex Geometries and Applications to Fluid Dynamics, Scientific Computation, Springer, 628 pp.
- Cockburn, B., 2003: Discontinuous Galerkin methods. ZAMM, 83, 731-754, doi: 10.1002/zamm.200310088. [Link]
- Conte, S. D. and C. Boor, 1980: Elementary Numerical Analysis: An Algorithmic Approach, McGraw-Hill, New York, 408 pp.
- Courant, R. and D. Hilbert, 1953: Methods of Mathematical Physics, Vol. 1, Wiley-Interscience.
- Deville, M. O., P. F. Fischer, and E. H. Mund, 2002: High-Order Methods for Incompressible Fluid Flow, Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 528 pp.

Fulton, S. R. and W. H. Schubert, 1985: Vertical nor-

mal mode transforms: Theory and application. *Mon. Weather Rev.*, **113**, 647-658, doi: 10.1175/1520-0493 (1985)113<0647:VNMTTA>2.0.CO;2. [Link]

- Fulton, S. R. and W. H. Schubert, 1987a: Chebyshev spectral methods for limited-area models. Part I: Model problem analysis. *Mon. Weather Rev.*, **115**, 1940-1953, doi:10.1175/1520-0493(1987)115<1940:CSMFLA>2. 0.CO;2. [Link]
- Fulton, S. R. and W. H. Schubert, 1987b: Chebyshev spectral methods for limited-area models. Part II: Shallow water model. *Mon. Weather Rev.*, **115**, 1954-1965, doi: 10.1175/1520-0493(1987)115<1954:CSMFLA>2.0. CO;2. [Link]
- Haugen, J. E. and B. Machenhauer, 1993: A spectral limitedarea model formulation with time-dependent boundary conditions applied to the shallow-water equations. *Mon. Weather Rev.*, **121**, 2618-2630, doi: 10.1175/152 0-0493(1993)121<2618:ASLAMF>2.0.CO;2. [Link]
- Hesthaven, J. S., S. Gottlieb, and D. Gottlieb, 2007: Spectral Methods for Time-Dependent Problems, Cambridge University Press, 284 pp.
- Iskandarani, M., D. B. Haidvogel, and J. P. Boyd, 1995: A staggered spectral element model with application to the oceanic shallow water equations. *Int. J. Numer. Methods Fluids*, 20, 393-414, doi: 10.1002/fld.16502 00504. [Link]
- Juang, H.-M. H., 2000: The NCEP mesoscale spectral model: A revised version of the nonhydrostatic regional spectral model. *Mon. Weather Rev.*, **128**, 2329-2362, doi: 10.1175/1520-0493(2000)128<2329:TNMSMA> 2.0.CO;2. [Link]
- Juang, H.-M. H. and M. Kanamitsu, 1994: The NMC nested regional spectral model. *Mon. Weather Rev.*, **122**, 3-26, doi: 10.1175/1520-0493(1994)122<0003:TNNR SM>2.0.CO;2. [Link]
- Juang, H.-M. H., W. K. Tao, X. Zeng, C. L. Shie, S. Lang, and J. Simpson, 2007: Parallelization of the NASA Goddard cumulus ensemble model for massively parallel computing. *Terr. Atmos. Ocean. Sci.*, **18**, 593-622, doi: 10.3319/TAO.2007.18.3.593(A). [Link]
- Kopriva, D. A., 1986: A spectral multidomain method for the solution of hyperbolic systems. *Appl. Numer*. *Math.*, 2, 221-241, doi: 10.1016/0168-9274(86)90030-9. [Link]
- Kopriva, D. A., 1989: Computation of hyperbolic equations on complicated domains with patched and overset Chebyshev grids. *SIAM J. Sci. Stat. Comput.*, **10**, 120-132, doi: 10.1137/0910010. [Link]
- Kopriva, D. A., 1996: A conservative staggered-grid Chebyshev multidomain method for compressible flows. II. A semi-structured method. J. Comput. Phys., 128, 475-488, doi: 10.1006/jcph.1996.0225. [Link]
- Kopriva, D. A., 2009: Implementing Spectral Methods for Partial Differential Equations: Algorithms for Scien-

tists and Engineers, Scientific Computation, Springer, 435 pp.

- Kopriva, D. A. and J. H. Kolias, 1996: A conservative staggered-grid Chebyshev multidomain method for compressible flows. J. Comput. Phys., 125, 244-261, doi: 10.1006/jcph.1996.0091. [Link]
- Kuo, H. C. and W. H. Schubert, 1988: Stability of cloudtopped boundary layers. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 114, 887-916, doi: 10.1002/qj.49711448204. [Link]
- Kuo, H. C. and R. T. Williams, 1992: Boundary effects in regional spectral models. *Mon. Weather Rev.*, **120**, 2986-2992, doi: 10.1175/1520-0493(1992)120<2986: BEIRSM>2.0.CO;2. [Link]
- Kuo, H. C. and R. T. Williams, 1998: Scale-dependent accuracy in regional spectral methods. *Mon. Weather Rev.*, **126**, 2640-2647, doi: 10.1175/1520-0493(1998) 126<2640:SDAIRS>2.0.CO;2. [Link]
- Machenhauer, B., 1979: The spectral method. In: Numerical Methods used in Atmospheric Models, Vol. 2, Global Atmospheric Research Programme, 121-275.
- Orszag, S., 1970: Transform method for the calculation of vector-coupled sums: Application to the spectral form of the vorticity equation. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **27**, 890-895, doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1970)027<0890:TMFTCO> 2.0.CO;2. [Link]
- Patera, A. T., 1984: A spectral element method for fluid dynamics: Laminar flow in a channel expansion. J. Comput. Phys., 54, 468-488, doi: 10.1016/0021-9991 (84)90128-1. [Link]
- Ramachandran, D. N., S. J. Thomas, and R. D. Loft, 2005: A discontinuous Galerkin transport scheme on the cubed sphere. *Mon. Weather Rev.*, **133**, 814-828, doi: 10.11 75/MWR2890.1. [Link]

- Roache, P. J., 1978: A pseudo-spectral FFT technique for non-periodic problems, *J. Comput. Phys.*, **27**, 204-220, doi: 10.1016/0021-9991(78)90005-0. [Link]
- Segami, A., K. Kurihara, H. Nakamura, M. Ueno, I. Takano, and Y. Tatsumi, 1989: Operational mesoscale weather prediction with Japan spectral model. J. Meteorol. Soc. Jpn., 67, 907-923.
- Shonkwiler, R. W. and L. Lefton, 2006: An Introduction to Parallel and Vector Scientific Computing, Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 300 pp.
- Smith, B., P. Bjorstad, and W. Gropp, 1996: Domain Decomposition: Parallel Multilevel Methods for Elliptic Partial Differential Equations, Cambridge University Press, 224 pp.
- Tsuboki, K. and A. Sakakibara, 2002: Large-scale parallel computing of cloud resolving storm simulator. In: Zima, H. P., K. Joe, M. Sato, Y. Seo, and M. Shimasaki (Eds.), High Performance Computing, 4th International Symposium, ISHPC 2002, Kansai Science City, Japan, May 15-17, 2002: Proceedings, Springer, 243-259.
- Washington, W. M. and C. L. Parkinson, 2005: An Introduction to Three-Dimensional Climate Modeling, University Science Books, 368 pp.
- Wicker, L. J. and W. C. Skamarock, 2002: Time-splitting methods for elastic models using forward time schemes. *Mon. Weather Rev.*, **130**, 2088-2097, doi: 10.1175/152 0-0493(2002)130<2088:TSMFEM>2.0.CO;2. [Link]
- Yang, H. H. and B. Shizgal, 1994: Chebyshev pseudospectral multi-domain technique for viscous flow calculation. *Comput. Meth. Appl. Mech. Eng.*, **118**, 47-61, doi: 10.1016/0045-7825(94)90106-6. [Link]